4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

1718

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

2930

## SHB 1933 - H AMD 249 By Representative Schindler

FAILED 03/17/2003

- 1 On page 2, after line 9, insert the following:
- 2 "Sec. 2. RCW 90.58.020 and 1995 c 347 s 301 are each amended to read as follows:

The legislature finds that the shorelines of the state are among the most valuable and fragile of its natural resources and that there is great concern throughout the state relating to their utilization, protection, restoration, and preservation. In addition it finds that ever increasing pressures of additional uses are being placed on the shorelines necessitating increased coordination in the management and development of the shorelines of the state. The legislature further finds that much of the shorelines of the state and the uplands adjacent thereto are in private ownership; that unrestricted construction on the privately owned or publicly owned shorelines of the state is not in the best public interest; and therefore, coordinated planning is necessary in order to protect the public interest associated with the shorelines of the state while, at the same time, recognizing and protecting private property rights consistent with the public interest. There is, therefor, a clear and urgent demand for a planned, rational, and concerted effort, jointly performed by federal, state, and local governments, to prevent the inherent harm in an uncoordinated and piecemeal development of the state's shorelines.

It is the policy of the state to provide for the management of the shorelines of the state by planning for and fostering all reasonable and appropriate uses. This policy is designed to insure the development of these shorelines in a manner which, while allowing for limited reduction of rights of the public in the navigable waters, will promote and enhance the public interest. The public interest and the statewide interest include the development of water-dependent publicly owned facilities and other facilities necessary for water-dependent economic development. This policy contemplates protecting against

adverse effects to the public health, the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the state and their aquatic life, while protecting generally public rights of navigation and corollary rights incidental thereto.

The legislature declares that the interest of all of the people shall be paramount in the management of shorelines of statewide significance. The department, in adopting guidelines for shorelines of statewide significance, and local government, in developing master programs for shorelines of statewide significance, shall give preference to uses in the following order of preference which:

- 11 (1) Recognize and protect the statewide interest over local 12 interest;
  - (2) Preserve the natural character of the shoreline;
  - (3) Result in long term over short term benefit;

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

13

14

15

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

33

34

35

3637

38

- (4) Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline;
- 16 (5) Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the 17 shorelines;
  - (6) Increase recreational opportunities for the public in the shoreline;
  - (7) Provide for any other element as defined in RCW 90.58.100 deemed appropriate or necessary.

In the implementation of this policy the public's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the state shall be preserved to the greatest extent feasible consistent with the overall best interest of the state and the people generally. To this end uses shall be preferred which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment, or are unique to or dependent upon use of the state's shoreline. Alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines of the state, in those limited instances when authorized, shall be given priority for single family residences and their appurtenant structures, ports, shoreline recreational uses including but not limited to parks, marinas, piers, and other improvements facilitating public access to shorelines of the state, industrial and commercial developments which are particularly dependent on their location on or use of the shorelines of the state and other development that will provide an opportunity for substantial numbers of the people to enjoy the shorelines of the state. Alterations of the natural condition of the

shorelines and shorelands of the state shall be recognized by the Shorelines and shorelands of the state shall appropriately classified and these classifications shall be revised when circumstances warrant regardless of whether the change circumstances occurs through man-made causes or natural causes. Anv areas resulting from alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines and shorelands of the state no longer meeting the definition of "shorelines of the state" shall not be subject to the provisions of chapter 90.58 RCW. 

Permitted uses in the shorelines of the state shall be designed and conducted in a manner to minimize, insofar as practical, any resultant damage to the ecology and environment of the shoreline area and any interference with the public's use of the water.

- **Sec. 3.** RCW 36.70A.480 and 1995 c 347 s 104 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) For shorelines of the state, the goals and policies of the shoreline management act as set forth in RCW 90.58.020 are added as one of the goals of this chapter as set forth in RCW 36.70A.020. This addition shall not be interpreted to create a priority among the listed goals in RCW 36.70A.020. The goals and policies of a shoreline master program for a county or city approved under chapter 90.58 RCW shall be considered an element of the county or city's comprehensive plan. All other portions of the shoreline master program for a county or city adopted under chapter 90.58 RCW, including use regulations, shall be considered a part of the county or city's development regulations.
  - (2) The shoreline master program shall be adopted pursuant to the procedures of chapter 90.58 RCW rather than the goals, policies, and procedures set forth in this chapter for the adoption of a comprehensive plan or development regulations.
  - (3) As long as a shoreline master program has been approved by the department of ecology, a growth management hearings board reviewing a comprehensive plan or development regulation under RCW 36.70A.280 shall determine that the master program complies with the requirements of this chapter.
- 35 (4) Shorelines of the state may contain critical areas as defined 36 in RCW 36.70A.030(5), but shall not be designated as critical areas

- 1 <u>under RCW 36.70A.170</u> based on their being either shorelines of the 2 <u>state or shorelines of statewide significance.</u>
- **Sec. 4.** RCW 36.70A.280 and 1996 c 325 s 2 are each amended to read 4 as follows:

- (1) A growth management hearings board shall hear and determine only those petitions alleging either:
- (a) That a state agency, county, or city planning under this chapter is not in compliance with the requirements of this chapter, ((chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption of shoreline master programs or amendments thereto,)) or chapter 43.21C RCW as it relates to plans, development regulations, or amendments, adopted under RCW 36.70A.040 ((or chapter 90.58 RCW)); or
- (b) That the twenty-year growth management planning population projections adopted by the office of financial management pursuant to RCW 43.62.035 should be adjusted.
- (2) A petition may be filed only by: (a) The state, or a county or city that plans under this chapter; (b) a person who has participated orally or in writing before the county or city regarding the matter on which a review is being requested; (c) a person who is certified by the governor within sixty days of filing the request with the board; or (d) a person qualified pursuant to RCW 34.05.530.
- (3) For purposes of this section "person" means any individual, partnership, corporation, association, state agency, governmental subdivision or unit thereof, or public or private organization or entity of any character.
- (4) When considering a possible adjustment to a growth management planning population projection prepared by the office of financial management, a board shall consider the implications of any such adjustment to the population forecast for the entire state.

The rationale for any adjustment that is adopted by a board must be documented and filed with the office of financial management within ten working days after adoption.

If adjusted by a board, a county growth management planning population projection shall only be used for the planning purposes set forth in this chapter and shall be known as a "board adjusted population projection". None of these changes shall affect the

- official state and county population forecasts prepared by the office of financial management, which shall continue to be used for state budget and planning purposes.
- (5) So long as a shoreline master program has been approved by the department of ecology, a growth management hearings board reviewing a comprehensive plan or development regulation under this section shall determine that the master program complies with the requirements of this chapter.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 5.** This act shall apply to all shoreline master 10 programs or amendments to shoreline master programs adopted after 11 January 1, 2001."
- 12 Correct the title.

--- END ---